

Exhibit I



bbc-Master bbc-Account <bbc.master.acct@gmail.com>



Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com>
To: nazaire.jacques@gmail.com
Cc: blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com

Wed, Jul 18, 2012 at 9:56 PM

Dear Mr. Nazaire,

I was expecting your call back today after our conversation but never heard back from you. Please feel free to contact my office tomorrow anytime, but I would appreciate a heads up as to what time you would like to talk...My schedule is flexible as of now.

Also, I noticed on the complaint that the e-mail address and phone number listed under your name belong to Brett Gibbs of Prenda. My understanding is that Superior Court Rule 4.2 requires you to list your e-mail address and telephone number, not that of another not licensed to practice law in Georgia and not yet admitted *pro hac*. Please make this correction at your earliest convenience. I don't mind copying Mr. Gibbs on any correspondence since you and Prenda are "of counsel," but my understanding of the rules is that it's only appropriate to communicate primarily through your firm's contact information, not that of a firm not admitted to practice in the case. I've listed my full contact information below for your convenience.

At this juncture, I am authorized to discuss whether an out-of-court resolution of this case is possible. My client hopes that some sort of agreement can be reached, but they are prepared to litigate if need be. I look forward to speaking with you.

Sincerely,

Blair Chintella
678-293-6098 (main)
No fax.
404-579-9668 (cell)
1600 Alexandria Court SE
Marietta, GA 30067
www.chintellalaw.com



bbc-Master bbc-Account <bbc.master.acct@gmail.com>

Re: [REDACTED]

Brett Gibbs <blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com>
To: Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com>
Cc: nazaire.jacques@gmail.com

Wed, Jul 18, 2012 at 11:25 PM

Mr. Chintella:

Thank you for your contact. Mr. Nazaire and I spoke today, and thought it best that I handle these sorts of discussion with you on behalf of our client Lightspeed. Since I have been traveling for the past couple of days, it was my fault, not Mr. Nazaire's, that you were not contacted today.

While I am still traveling, I can take some time to call you tomorrow. How does around 11:00 a.m. EST work for you? We can discuss the case, and see if we can reach some sort of settlement agreement that works for everyone.

Let me know how that sounds.

Regards,

Brett Gibbs
(415) 325-5900

On Wed, Jul 18, 2012 at 6:56 PM, Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Nazaire,

I was expecting your call back today after our conversation but never heard back from you. Please feel free to contact my office tomorrow anytime, but I would appreciate a heads up as to what time you would like to talk...My schedule is flexible as of now.

Also, I noticed on the complaint that the e-mail address and phone number listed under your name belong to Brett Gibbs of Prenda. My understanding is that Superior Court Rule 4.2 requires you to list your e-mail address and telephone number, not that of another not licensed to practice law in Georgia and not yet admitted *pro hac*. Please make this correction at your earliest convenience. I don't mind copying Mr. Gibbs on any correspondence since you and Prenda are "of counsel," but my understanding of the rules is that it's only appropriate to communicate primarily through your firm's contact information, not that of a firm not admitted to practice in the case. I've listed my full contact information below for your convenience.

At this juncture, I am authorized to discuss whether an out-of-court resolution of this case is possible. My client hopes that some sort of agreement can be reached, but they are prepared to litigate if need be. I look forward to speaking with you.

Sincerely,

Blair Chintella
678-293-6098 (main)
No fax.
404-579-9668 (cell)
1600 Alexandria Court SE
Marietta, GA 30067
www.chintellalaw.com

--

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc.
38 Miller Avenue, #263
Mill Valley, CA 94941
415-341-5318
blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com

NOTICE: THIS EMAIL IS INTENDED TO BE PART OF A SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATION AND IS NOT ADMISSIBLE UNDER FRE RULE 408.

NOTICE:

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.



bbc-Master bbc-Account <bbc.master.acct@gmail.com>

Re: Lightspeed Media case - 12-cv-10123 (Colquitt County)

Jacques Nazaire <nazaire.jacques@gmail.com>
To: Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com>

Fri, Jul 20, 2012 at 9:56 AM

Thanks for getting back to me.

I will be out all day today. If you can wait to Monday, I will call you then. You can reach me at anytime during the day.

However, if you want to call Mr. Brett Gibbs, he is available today at 415-341-5318.

Sincerely,

Jacques Nazaire, Esq.

On 7/19/12, Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com> wrote:

> Mr. Mazaire,

>

> I received your message but was out of the office today. Is there a good time tomorrow that we could talk? I also received an e-mail from Brett Gibbs. Do I need to talk with you or him?

>

> Sincerely,

>

> Blair Chintella

> 678-293-6098

> www.chintellalaw.com

>

>

> On Thu, Jul 19, 2012 at 10:40 AM, Jacques Nazaire

> <nazaire.jacques@gmail.com

>> wrote:

>

>> Good Morning Mr. Chintel:

>>

>> Your observation is duly noted. The telephone calls are supposed to be forwarded to me. Nonetheless, I will make the corrections regarding the number and email so that I may avoid any confusion.

>>

>> I have a busy schedule today; however, I will be available after 4:30 PM. Please let me know if 5PM will be a good time to discuss this matter. If not, please let me know if there is a more convenient time.

>>

>> Sincerely,

>>

>> Jacques Nazaire, Esq.

>>

>> On 7/18/12, Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com> wrote:

>> > Dear Mr. Nazaire,

>> >

>> > I was expecting your call back today after our conversation but never heard

>> > back from you. Please feel free to contact my office tomorrow anytime, but

>> > I would appreciate a heads up as to what time you would like to talk...My

>> > schedule is flexible as of now.

>> >

>> > Also, I noticed on the complaint that the e-mail address and phone number

>> > listed under your name belong to Brett Gibbs of Prenda. My understanding

>> > is that Superior Court Rule 4.2 requires you to list your e-mail address

>> > and telephone number, not that of another not licensed to practice law in

>> > Georgia and not yet admitted *pro hac*. Please make this correction at your earliest convenience. I don't mind copying Mr. Gibbs on any

>> > correspondence since you and Prenda are "of counsel," but my
>> understanding
>> > of the rules is that it's only appropriate to communicate primarily
>> through
>> > your firm's contact information, not that of a firm not admitted to
>> > practice in the case. I've listed my full contact information below
>> > for
>> > your convenience.
>> >
>> > At this juncture, I am authorized to discuss whether an out-of-court
>> > resolution of this case is possible. My client hopes that some sort of
>> > agreement can be reached, but they are prepared to litigate if need be.
>> > I
>> > look forward to speaking with you.
>> >
>> > Sincerely,
>> >
>> > Blair Chintella
>> > 678-293-6098 (main)
>> > No fax.
>> > 404-579-9668 (cell)
>> > 1600 Alexandria Court SE
>> > Marietta, GA 30067
>> > www.chintellalaw.com
>> >
>>
>



bbc-Master bbc-Account <bbc.master.acct@gmail.com>

Re: [REDACTED]

Brett Gibbs <blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com>
To: Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com>
Cc: Jacques Nazaire <nazaire.jacques@gmail.com>

Fri, Jul 27, 2012 at 2:16 PM

Mr. Chintela:

Attached is a Word (.doc) copy of [REDACTED]. I took it upon myself to make those changes we discussed. To make it work for everyone, and understanding your reasonable request/dilemma, I included language indicating that [REDACTED]. I think this covers the issues you raised with me, and still covers our client from [REDACTED].

Other than that, take a look at it. If you make changes, just please track your changes and we can discuss them afterwards.

Thanks,

Brett

On Fri, Jul 27, 2012 at 7:50 AM, Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com> wrote:
Mazaire or Gibbs,

I've tried several times to get in touch with Nazaire since our conversation at the beginning of this week. I spoke with my client [REDACTED] and he's still interested in [REDACTED], so if you're ignoring my previous correspondence for some reason, there's no need. Apparently, [REDACTED] but it'll depend on the language used in the [REDACTED]. For example, [REDACTED] need to be pared down. Right now, they include all attorneys (for example), and I'm not a party to the contract...Gibbs and I discussed this briefly already. If you'll send me [REDACTED] in WORD format I'll revise it.

Sincerely,

Blair Chintella
678-293-6098
www.chintellalaw.com

--

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc.
38 Miller Avenue, #263
Mill Valley, CA 94941
415-341-5318
blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com

NOTICE: THIS EMAIL IS INTENDED TO BE PART OF A SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATION AND IS NOT ADMISSIBLE UNDER FRE RULE 408.

NOTICE:

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

[REDACTED] .doc
61K



bbc-Master bbc-Account <bbc.master.acct@gmail.com>

Re: Webb Settlement

Brett Gibbs <blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com>
To: Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com>
Cc: Jacques Nazaire <nazaire.jacques@gmail.com>

Mon, Jul 30, 2012 at 4:43 PM

Mr. Chintella:

The changes in your revised [REDACTED] is acceptable to my client. Just make sure to put in the correct [REDACTED] - and send the [REDACTED] back to me via email.

From there, I will expect [REDACTED] from you or your client within the next week. Once [REDACTED], I will coordinate with Mr. Nazaire to [REDACTED].

Regards,

Brett Gibbs
(415) 325-5900

On Mon, Jul 30, 2012 at 12:04 PM, Bchintel1 <bchintel1@gmail.com> wrote:

Mr. Gibbs,

Attached is the modified [REDACTED]. I've attached a clean version as well as a "compared" version to your July 27, 2012 version. I'm hopeful that we can [REDACTED]. Although I made a lot of changes, most of them didn't change the meaning at all. For example, you had two paragraphs [REDACTED] and there were other redundancies. A couple substantive change worth mentioning:

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

As [REDACTED] is currently written, it would be acceptable to my client [REDACTED]. If you make any changes I'll have to take them back to my client. I'm hopeful that we can finally [REDACTED] today if possible. Feel free to contact my office to discuss.

Sincerely,

Blair Chintella
678-293-6098
www.chintellalaw.com

--

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc.
38 Miller Avenue, #263
Mill Valley, CA 94941
415-341-5318
blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com

NOTICE: THIS EMAIL IS INTENDED TO BE PART OF A SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATION AND IS NOT ADMISSIBLE UNDER FRE RULE 408.

NOTICE:

This communication is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, found at 18 U.S.C. 2510 et. seq. and is intended to remain confidential and is subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and all attachments. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and/or any attachments and if you are not the intended recipient, do not disclose the contents or take any action in reliance upon the information contained in this communication or any attachments.

Circular 230 Disclosure: Pursuant to recently-enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we are now required to advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.